Cordova for President

Moving Forward Together

www.vincentcordova.com | info@cordova2028.com | (350) 229-1046 | MANTECA, CA 95336

The United States Constitution

Article II, Section 1 of the U.S. Constitution imposes only three eligibility requirements on persons serving as president, based on the officeholder's age, time of residency in the U.S., and citizenship status:

U.S. Constitution – Presidential Candidate Eligibility

"No person except a natural born Citizen, or a Citizen of the United States, at the time of the Adoption of this Constitution, shall be eligible to the Office of President; neither shall any person be eligible to that Office who shall not have attained to the Age of thirty-five Years, and been fourteen Years a Resident within the United States."

THE PARTNERSHIP EDUCATION FRAMEWORK

Education Policy Document

Vincent Cordova | Presidential Campaign 2028 | cordova2028.com

---

PART ONE: WHY EDUCATION IS THE MOST IMPORTANT DOCUMENT IN THIS SERIES

Every policy in this doctrine can be reversed.

A new administration can rewrite a trade agreement. A hostile Congress can defund a partnership program. A corporate lobby can erode an ethical manufacturing standard over time through a thousand small exemptions and quiet amendments. History is full of good policies that did not survive contact with the people who profit from bad ones.

There is one thing that cannot be reversed.

A generation of Americans who grew up with a classroom partner in Kinshasa. Who learned to cook Congolese food in their school cafeteria. Who built a water filtration solution with a student in Port-au-Prince over a video call. Who spent a semester living with a family in Bolivia. Who

speak some Lingala, some Creole, some Quechua — not fluently, but enough to know that another human being's language is worth learning.

You cannot un-know a person. You cannot make someone stop caring about a place where they have a friend. You cannot extract the empathy that comes from genuine shared experience and replace it with the comfortable indifference that makes exploitation possible.

That is why this document exists. Every other document in the Partnership Doctrine builds the architecture. This document makes it permanent.

PART TWO: THE PROBLEM WITH EDUCATION AS IT EXISTS

Before we describe what we are building, we have to be honest about what we have.

American education teaches American children almost nothing about the world they actually live in. They learn European history. They learn a version of American history that sanitizes what was done in this country's name. They learn, if they are lucky, one foreign language — usually Spanish or French — studied abstractly without connection to a real relationship with the people who speak it.

They do not learn that Congo exists and what has been done to it. They do not learn that Haiti's poverty is not natural but manufactured — the result of 150 years of deliberate economic punishment. They do not learn that the cobalt in the phone in their pocket came from a child's hands. They do not learn that the global economic system was designed by people, can be changed by people, and that their generation is the one that gets to decide whether to change it.

That ignorance is not accidental. An informed population is a demanding population. A population that knows what is being done in its name tends to object to it. The current system depends on a population that does not know and does not ask.

We are going to teach them.

PART THREE: THE FOUNDATION — WHAT THIS FRAMEWORK IS AND IS NOT

What It Is Not

This is not a cultural appreciation unit. It is not a once-a-year international food festival. It is not a pen pal program that runs for a semester and then gets defunded. It is not a study abroad program available only to wealthy families who can afford the airfare.

This is not charity education — where American children learn about poor countries they feel sorry for. That framing is condescending, inaccurate, and produces exactly the wrong kind of relationship. Children who are taught to pity their partner nation's people do not grow up to treat them as equals. They grow up to run NGOs that perpetuate dependency with good intentions.

What It Is

This is a full, ongoing, academically serious, bidirectional educational relationship between American state school systems and their partner nation's school systems. It runs from kindergarten through twelfth grade and extends into higher education. It is integrated into core subjects — not siloed into an elective that gets cut when budgets are tight.

It is funded as a national priority because it is one. The long-term return on this investment — in trade relationships, in geopolitical stability, in the elimination of the ignorance that makes exploitation possible — exceeds any other educational investment America can make.

And it flows both directions. American students learn from their partner nation. Partner nation students learn from and with their American counterparts. Neither side is the teacher. Both sides are students. Both sides are teachers.

PART FOUR: THE CURRICULUM FRAMEWORK

Integration Across Core Subjects

The partner nation relationship is not a standalone subject. It is woven into existing core subjects across every grade level so that it becomes the lens through which students understand the world — not an add-on to their education but a dimension of it.

History

From the earliest grades, students learn the real and complete history of their partner nation. Not a sanitized version. Not a version filtered through the perspective of whoever colonized or extracted from that nation. The actual history — told with the complexity and the dignity it deserves.

This includes the history of what was done to the partner nation by external powers — including, where relevant, by America or American corporations. This is not self-flagellation. It is accuracy. A generation that understands what extraction looked like in the past is a generation equipped to recognize and refuse it in the present.

By high school, students can place their partner nation's history in the full context of global power, colonialism, resource extraction, and the architecture of manufactured poverty. They understand not just what happened but why — and who designed it.

Geography

Students learn the physical geography of their partner nation from the earliest grades — its land, its climate, its ecosystems, its resources. They understand why the nation sits where it sits on earth and what that means for its people, its agriculture, its vulnerabilities, and its strengths.

By middle school, students understand the economic geography of their partner nation — what it produces, what it needs, how its economy is structured, who controls its resources and why. They understand the connection between geography and power in a way that most American adults currently do not.

Science and Environmental Studies

Science education is enriched by the partner nation relationship in both directions. Students study the ecosystems of their partner nation alongside their own regional ecosystems. They learn what environmental conditions exist in the partner nation, what environmental threats it faces, and how those threats connect to global systems that affect both nations.

Joint science projects connect students across borders on real environmental and engineering challenges. A class in Michigan and a class in Congo both studying water quality issues — and working together on solutions — is science education that is simultaneously real, meaningful, globally relevant, and relationship-building.

Economics

Students learn how trade actually works — not the abstract theory, but the practical reality of how goods move between nations, who captures the value, and how trade agreements are structured and by whom.

By middle school, students can explain the difference between a trade partnership that creates mutual value and an extraction relationship that moves value in one direction. They understand what a living wage is, what equity ownership means, and why both matter. They understand what the IMF and World Bank are and how their lending conditions work.

By high school, students are analyzing real trade agreements, real partnership structures, and real economic outcomes. They are prepared to be citizens who understand the economic system they live in and have opinions about how it should work.

Language

Every student in every American state that has a partner nation learns the primary language of that partner nation as a core subject beginning in kindergarten. Not as an elective. Not as an optional enrichment activity. As a graduation requirement.

Language learning is most effective when it begins early and is maintained consistently over years. It is also most effective when connected to a real relationship with people who speak the language. Both conditions are met in this framework.

By graduation, American students have twelve years of continuous language study connected to real interactions with native speakers. The level of fluency this produces is not the minimal competence of a high school language elective. It is genuine communicative ability — enough to

build a real relationship, conduct a real business conversation, and read a newspaper in the partner nation's language.

Partner nation students simultaneously learn English — not because English is superior, but because English is the current language of international commerce and its fluency opens opportunities. The language exchange is mutual. Both sides gain.

Art, Music, and Creative Studies

The creative culture of the partner nation is taught as a serious academic subject — not as exotic novelty but as a genuine artistic tradition with its own history, its own aesthetic principles, its own contemporary expression, and its own relationship to the partner nation's social and political reality.

Students study the music of their partner nation with the same seriousness they study European classical music. They study the visual art traditions — both historical and contemporary. They learn the storytelling traditions, the literary history, the film and theater culture where it exists.

Partner nation artists, musicians, and cultural figures are guests in American classrooms — virtually and, where possible, in person. American students encounter living creative culture, not museum pieces.

Culinary Education and Agriculture

The foods, farming traditions, and culinary culture of the partner nation are integrated into school curriculum and school food programs. Students learn to cook dishes from their partner nation. They learn the agricultural conditions that produce the partner nation's foods — the soil, the climate, the farming methods, the crops.

Where the partner nation uses agricultural knowledge or ecological practices that America lacks — traditional soil management, drought-resistant farming, medicinal plant knowledge, sustainable water use — those practices are taught as genuine knowledge worth having. Not as curiosities. As science and agriculture.

School cafeterias in partner states source food products from their partner nation as part of the trade relationship. Children who grow up eating Haitian cacao, Congolese coffee products, Bolivian quinoa, Yemeni honey — know those places differently than children who only read about them.

PART FIVE: THE JOINT CLASSROOM MODEL

How It Works

Every classroom in the American state partner is paired with a classroom in the partner nation at the equivalent grade level. The pairing is maintained over multiple years where possible — building real ongoing relationships rather than one-time exchanges.

Technology infrastructure connects the paired classrooms. Reliable video conferencing, shared digital workspaces, translation tools where needed. The investment in technology infrastructure for partner nation schools is part of the partnership agreement — American states help build the digital capacity that makes the joint classroom possible.

Joint sessions run on a regular scheduled basis — not occasional special events but a consistent part of the academic calendar. Students work together on shared projects, share their lives and communities, practice language exchange, and build the kind of ongoing relationship that transforms the abstract into the personal.

Joint Projects

Joint projects are the core of the academic relationship. They are designed to address real challenges facing both communities — not hypothetical textbook problems but actual issues that students in both classrooms live with.

Project topics are drawn from the real conditions of both communities:

- Water quality and access — if both communities face water challenges, they work on them together
- Food security and agricultural sustainability — relevant to both rural American communities and partner nation agricultural communities
- Renewable energy solutions — designing affordable solar systems for communities in both nations

- Healthcare access — understanding the health challenges facing both communities and researching solutions
- Environmental protection — studying shared ecosystems, shared threats, shared conservation strategies
- Economic development — analyzing the trade partnership between their state and nation and proposing improvements

The best projects from each school year are submitted to the Joint Student Innovation Fund — described in Part Seven — for potential development and real-world implementation.

Language Exchange Sessions

Dedicated language exchange sessions are built into the joint classroom schedule. American students practice the partner nation's language with native-speaking peers. Partner nation students practice English with native-speaking American peers.

This is more effective than any language lab or language learning software because it is real. Students are speaking with someone their own age, about things that matter to both of them, in the service of a relationship they actually want to build.

PART SIX: THE EXCHANGE PROGRAM

The Principle

Physical presence matters. A student who has spent six months living with a family in Kinshasa, going to school there, eating the food, navigating the city, building friendships — that student is fundamentally different from a student who only learned about Congo from a classroom. The experience cannot be replicated by technology, however good the technology becomes.

The Partnership Education Framework includes a fully funded exchange program available to every student regardless of family income.

Not available. Required to exist and be accessible. The difference matters. If the program exists but requires family funds to participate, it becomes another advantage of the privileged. The funding model described in Part Eight ensures that income is not a barrier.

High School Exchange

Every high school student in the partnership has access to a semester-long exchange in the partner nation. American students spend a semester living with a local family, attending a local school, navigating daily life in the partner nation's language.

Partner nation students spend a semester in the American state — living with a local family, attending the local school, contributing their perspective and their culture to the American classroom.

Exchange students are not tourists. They are participants. They attend school. They do homework. They have chores. They navigate the ordinary frustrations and joys of daily life somewhere new. That ordinariness is the point. Tourism creates spectators. Exchange creates people who belong somewhere else a little bit too.

University Partnerships

Every state university in the American state partner has a formal partnership with universities in the partner nation. The partnership includes:

- Joint degree programs in which students earn credentials recognized in both nations
- Dual enrollment options allowing partner nation students to take American university courses and vice versa
- Faculty exchange programs — professors teaching in both institutions, bringing cross-cultural academic perspective
- Research partnerships focused on challenges facing both nations
- Full scholarship access for partner nation students at partner state universities, funded through the partnership agreement

Professional and Technical Exchange

Beyond academic exchange, the framework includes professional and technical exchange programs connecting workers, farmers, craftspeople, chefs, artists, and entrepreneurs across the partnership.

An Iowa farmer spends a season learning regenerative farming techniques from a Haitian agricultural community. A Congolese engineer spends six months at a Michigan battery

manufacturing facility learning production methods. A Bolivian artisan spends a season in California learning distribution and marketing for traditional craft products.

The knowledge flows both directions in every exchange. The American participant is not only teaching. They are learning. The partner nation participant is not only learning. They are teaching.

PART SEVEN: THE JOINT STUDENT INNOVATION LABS

The Concept

The most powerful thing this framework produces — beyond relationships, beyond language, beyond cultural understanding — is a generation of young innovators who built their first real solution with an international partner before they graduated high school.

The Joint Student Innovation Labs are the mechanism through which the educational relationship feeds directly back into the manufacturing and trade economy.

How They Work

In every state, Innovation Labs are established as shared physical and digital spaces where high school and university students work on real-world challenges facing both their community and their partner nation's community.

Labs are equipped with design and fabrication tools — 3D printers, electronics workbenches, materials testing equipment, digital design software — and connected to equivalent or complementary facilities in the partner nation.

Student teams are composed of members from both sides of the partnership. The team shares the problem, shares the design process, shares the solution. Neither side builds something and hands it to the other. Both sides build it together.

From Classroom to Reality

The most promising innovations developed in the Labs go through a structured development pipeline:

Stage 1 — School Lab — initial concept development and prototyping by student teams

Stage 2 — Innovation Fund Review — the Joint Student Innovation Fund, governed jointly by the American state and the partner nation, evaluates proposals from the Labs for development funding

Stage 3 — University Partnership — selected innovations move to university partnerships for advanced development, testing, and refinement

Stage 4 — Manufacturing Pipeline — innovations that prove viable enter the manufacturing partnership. American state manufacturers produce them. Partner nation co-developers hold equity. The solution goes to market with both nations' fingerprints on it.

Stage 4 is not a distant aspiration. It is the explicit goal of the pipeline. Students who see their innovation move from a school lab to a real product manufactured in both nations — who understand that they built something that is improving lives on two sides of the world — are not the same people they were before. They are the next generation of the Partnership Economy's architects.

PART EIGHT: WHAT PARTNER NATION SCHOOLS RECEIVE

Education is not what America sends to partner nations and partner nations receive. It is what both sides exchange. But the exchange cannot be equal in infrastructure if the infrastructure does not yet exist.

Every partnership agreement includes a mandatory education infrastructure commitment from the American state to the partner nation school system.

Physical Infrastructure

School buildings that are safe, weatherproof, and equipped with basic sanitation are a non-negotiable baseline. American state partnerships include capital investment in school infrastructure in the partner nation — not as a one-time gift but as an ongoing commitment tied to the partnership term.

Technology Infrastructure

Reliable internet connectivity, computers and tablets, video conferencing capability, and digital learning tools are provided to every school in the partner nation that participates in the joint classroom program. Technology maintenance and upgrade cycles are built into the partnership agreement — not delivered once and left to deteriorate.

Teacher Development

Partner nation teachers receive professional development support — both in their home institutions and through exchange visits to American state schools. American teachers visit partner nation schools. The teacher relationship mirrors the student relationship — mutual, ongoing, bidirectional.

Curriculum Support

American state curriculum developers work with partner nation education authorities — not to impose American curriculum but to support the partner nation in developing curriculum that serves its own students' needs. The expertise is shared. The decisions belong to the partner nation.

Scholarship Access

Full university scholarships at American state universities are available to partner nation students, funded through the partnership agreement at a scale proportional to the partnership's economic volume. The goal over time is that a significant cohort of the partner nation's professional class has studied in the American state — and a significant cohort of the American state's professional class has studied in the partner nation.

Those people become the living infrastructure of the partnership. They know both sides personally. They speak both languages. They have relationships in both places. When political

winds shift, when administrations change, when the machinery of government tries to dismantle the partnership — these people are the reason it survives.

PART NINE: THE CULTURAL ECONOMY IN EDUCATION

Culture as Curriculum

The creative output of the partner nation — its music, its film, its literature, its visual art, its performance traditions — is taught in American schools as serious academic content. Not as a unit. As an ongoing dimension of arts education.

American students who graduate from this framework know the major artistic and cultural figures of their partner nation. They know the music. They know the literature — in translation and, for students who have reached sufficient language proficiency, in the original. They know the films. They know the contemporary creative scene — the artists and musicians who are making things right now.

This knowledge creates the demand side of the cultural economy built into the partnership. Students who grew up listening to Congolese music become the adults who buy it, who attend concerts, who stream it, who tell their friends. Students who grew up cooking Haitian food become the adults who seek it out in restaurants and markets. The cultural trade economy is self-sustaining because the education framework produced a generation of Americans who genuinely want it.

Partner Nation Teachers in American Schools

Every American state school system hosts partner nation teachers — not as guest lecturers but as permanent faculty. A Congolese teacher teaching Lingala and Congolese history and culture in a Michigan school is not a special event. They are a staff member. A colleague. A permanent presence.

This changes the school's relationship to the partner nation from something that happens in curriculum to something that is embodied by a person students interact with every day. The teacher is the partnership made human.

PART TEN: THE PIPELINE FROM EDUCATION TO ECONOMY

The Partnership Education Framework is not separate from the manufacturing and trade economy described in the other policy documents. It is the pipeline that feeds it.

Language graduates become the translators, negotiators, and relationship managers who run the trade partnerships without the intermediaries who currently extract value from every transaction.

Cultural graduates become the chefs who put partner nation food on American menus, the promoters who bring partner nation artists to American stages, the distributors who get partner nation products into American stores — all of which are components of the cultural trade economy.

Innovation Lab graduates become the engineers, designers, and entrepreneurs who develop the next generation of manufacturing technology in both nations simultaneously.

Exchange program graduates become the business owners, the diplomats, the politicians, the educators, and the citizens who maintain the partnership across every change of administration because the partnership is not a policy to them. It is personal.

University partnership graduates become the doctors, lawyers, economists, and technical specialists in both nations who have a professional network that spans the partnership — and who use that network every day in ways that deepen and sustain the economic relationship.

The education framework does not support the Partnership Economy. It is the Partnership Economy's most important investment. Everything else can be rebuilt if it is damaged. A generation shaped by genuine cross-cultural relationship and shared problem-solving cannot be undone. It simply grows up and builds the next generation.

PART ELEVEN: THE BOTTOM LINE

We have spent generations teaching American children that the world outside their borders is either a threat to be feared or a charity case to be pitied. Neither framing produces the kind of citizen who can build a genuinely new relationship with the world.

We are going to teach them something different.

We are going to teach them that the child in Kinshasa who is working on the same water problem they are working on is not a charity case. They are a colleague. A problem-solving partner. A friend.

We are going to teach them that the food growing in Haiti's soil is not foreign and strange. It is something they have tasted, something they know how to prepare, something they have heard the story of from a Haitian teacher who taught them how.

We are going to teach them that the language spoken in La Paz is not impenetrable. It is something they have been learning since kindergarten, something they practiced with a Bolivian classmate over a video call, something they used to buy breakfast when they spent a semester there in tenth grade.

We are going to teach them that poverty is not natural and that the people experiencing it are not defined by it. That the same child who has nothing today could build something extraordinary tomorrow — if the system is designed to give them the chance instead of designed to prevent it.

A generation that knows these things does not grow up and vote for extraction. It does not grow up indifferent to what happens to the people it knows. It does not grow up willing to accept a world organized around manufactured poverty because it has seen what the alternative looks like and it helped build it.

That is the Partnership Education Framework.

That is how this becomes permanent.

Vincent Cordova Presidential Campaign 2028 vincentcordova.com | info@cordova2028.com

---

"You cannot make someone stop caring about a place where they have a friend. That is the whole point."